# **Frequency Scaling in Multilevel Queues**

IFIP Performance 2020

Maryam Elahi<sup>1,3</sup> Andrea Marin<sup>2</sup> Sabina Rossi<sup>2</sup> Carey Williamson<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mount Royal University, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Università Ca'Foscari Venezia, Italy

<sup>3</sup> University of Calgary, Canada

Introduction and Contribution

The queueing model and its solution

Case study

Conclusion

# Introduction and Contribution

- **Goal:** serve first the smallest jobs to improve the expected response time
- Assumptions:
  - Do we know the job size at the arrival epoch? (Shortest Remaining Processing Time)
  - Do we know the distribution of the job size? (Gittin's policy)
  - Is the job size distribution heavy tailed? (Least Attained Service (LAS), Multilevel queues)

## How do multilevel queues work?

#### Example: two levels with Processor Sharing (PS) server



- Goal: Energy saving
- Main idea: When there are few jobs in the system, we can reduce the processor speed
  - We increase the expected response time w.r.t. constant speed only for the *lucky* jobs
  - The service time is directly proportional to the service speed f
  - The power consumption depends on the service speed as  $f^{\alpha}$ , were  $2 \leq \alpha \leq 3$
- Linear frequency scaling: The server speed is proportional to the number of jobs in the system

## Related work (short list)

#### • Policies independent of the received service

- (George, Harrison): FCFS queues and frequency scaling
- (Wierman et al.): M/G/1/PS queues with frequency scaling

#### • Policies with known job size

- (Bansal et al.; Andrew et al.): Worst case analysis of SRPT with frequency scaling
- (Andrew at al.; Elahi, Williamson): Unfairness in SRPT with frequency scaling
- (Lassila; Aalto): LAS with sleeping servers

- We study a two-level queue with PS discipline and linear speed scaling on the low-priority jobs (PS+IS)
- We give a numerical solution of the queueing system and validate it with discrete event simulation
- We study the behaviour of the model with job size distributions obtained by monitoring TCP flows of a data centre

# The queueing model and its solution

## **Graphical representation**

Processor Sharing (PS)



Infinite Server (IS)

Note: The IS system works only when the PS is idle

#### • We use Generalized Hyperexponential (GH) distributions

$$f_X(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \mu_k e^{-p_k \mu_k}$$

where  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$ ,  $p_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f_X(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 

- GH distributions are dense in the domain of the distributions
  - They can approximate any distribution arbitrary well

## Analysis of the queue: sketch

- We can see the system consisting of two queues:
  - **High priority** one which is M/G/1/PS whose job sizes are truncated at *a*
  - Low priority one which works during the idle periods of the PS which is a  $M^{\mathcal{B}}/G/\infty$  queue
    - The arrival process is Poisson with intensity  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
    - The batch size is the number of jobs that crossed the threshold during a busy period of the PS level
- The **generating function** of the batch size distribution has not an explicit form but has a characteristic equation (Kleinrock)
- The solution of the IS queue requires the **distribution** of the batch size

## Computation of the batch size distribution

- We invert the generating function with the Lattice-Poisson algorithm by Abate and Whitt
- The evaluation of the generating function is obtained with a **fixed point algorithm** whose convergence is proved by resorting to Banach's contraction mapping fixed point theorem
- The accuracy of the numerical procedure **is validated** in low and heavy-load by comparing the first two moments of the distribution (which can be computed explicitly for GH distributions from the characteristic equation) with those obtained by the numerical inversion

- We resort to the literature for the power consumed by the PS queue
- We provide a numerical solution for the IS queue and integer values of the exponent  $\alpha$
- The power consumption is derived from the second ( $\alpha = 2$ ) and third ( $\alpha = 3$ ) moments of the occupancy distribution in the IS queue

# Case study

#### Dataset

- **TCP flows** monitored at the data centre of the Università Ca' Foscari Venezia in November 2019
- Fitting with PH-Fit into an acyclic phase-type distribution
- Transformation of the acyclic phase-type distribution into a GH

Accuracy of the fitting: empirical CDF for the service demand







(b) Empirical and analytical cumulative density function in log-linear scale. (c) Complementary cumulative density function in log-log scale.



## PS+IS vs. PS: Comparison of the expected response time

• PS queue has speed 1 and IS has speed f < 1



#### PS+IS vs. PS: Comparison of the power consumption



(a) Power consumption:  $\rho_{PS} = 0.85$  when  $0 \le a \le 4 \cdot 10^4$ .  $\rho_{PS} = 0.92$  when  $0 \le a \le 4 \cdot 10^4$ .

(b) Power consumption:

#### PS+IS vs. PS: Slowdown



(a) Slowdown of PS+IS conditioned to the job size x with  $\rho_{PS} = 0.85$ .

(b) Slowdown of PS+IS conditioned to the job size x with  $\rho_{PS} = 0.92$ .

# **PS+IS** vs. **PS:** Comparison of the expected response times with same power consumption



(a) Comparison of the expected response time with  $\rho_{PS} = 0.70$  and f = 0.10.

(b) Comparison of the expected response time with  $\rho_{PS} = 0.70$  and f = 0.15.

## Simulation

- Simulation has been used to cross validate the numerical results
- Simulation allows the investigation of other characteristics of the system such as the distribution of the system speed



21

# Conclusion

- We have introduced a two-level queueing system (PS+IS) with linear speed scaling for the low-priority level
- A numerical solution procedure has been proposed and its accuracy has been validated with discrete event simulation
- Experiments on real-world job size distributions have been carried out
- We showed that the model-driven configuration of the PS+IS system is crucial for obtaining the benefits of the speed scaling without compromising the slowdown of the system too much